Horticulture Research

Article

A highly diversified NLR cluster in melon contains homologs that confer powdery mildew and aphid resistance

Nathalie Boissot 🝺^{1,*,†}, Veronique Chovelon^{1,†}, Vincent Rittener-Ruff¹, Nathalie Giovinazzo¹, Pascale Mistral¹, Michel Pitrat¹, Myriam Charpentier^{2,3}, Christelle Troadec², Abdelhafid Bendahmane 🝺² and Catherine Dogimont¹

¹INRAE, GAFL, 84143 Montfavet, France

²INRAE, IPS2, 91190 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³John Innes Centre, Department Cell & Developmental Biology, Colney Lane, Norwich NR4 7UH, UK

*Corresponding author. E-mail: nathalie.boissot@inrae.fr

 † co-first author

Abstract

Podosphaera xanthii is the main causal agent of powdery mildew (PM) on Cucurbitaceae. In Cucumis melo, the Pm-w resistance gene, which confers resistance to P. xanthii, is located on chromosome 5 in a cluster of nucleotide-binding leucine-rich repeat receptors (NLRs). We used positional cloning and transgenesis, to isolate the Pm-w^{WMR 29} gene encoding a coiled-coil NLR (CC-NLR). Pm-w^{WMR 29} conferred high level of resistance to race 1 of PM and intermediate level of resistance to race 3 of PM. Pm-w^{WMR 29} turned out to be a homolog of the Aphis gossypii resistance gene Vat-1^{PI 161375}. We confirmed that Pm-w^{WMR 29} did not confer resistance to aphids, while Vat-1^{PI 161375} did not confer resistance to PM. We showed that both homologs were included in a highly diversified cluster of NLRs, the Vat cluster. Specific Vat-1^{PI 161375} and Pm-w^{WMR 29} markers were present in 10% to 13% of 678 accessions representative of wild and cultivated melon types worldwide. Phylogenic reconstruction of 34 protein homologs of Vat-1^{PI 161375} and Pm-w^{WMR 29} identified in 24 melon accessions revealed an ancestor with four R65aa—a specific motif in the LRR domain, evolved towards aphid and virus resistance, while an ancestor with five R65aa evolved towards PM resistance. The complexity of the cluster comprising the Vat/Pm-w genes and its diversity in melon suggest that Vat homologs may contribute to the recognition of a broad range of yet to be identified pests and pathogens.

Introduction

Melon (Cucumis melo L.) belonging to the Cucurbitaceae family is a major economically important horticultural crop worldwide. Melon is highly appreciated for its edible produce that is widely consumed both as a fruit and a vegetable. Open fields and/or greenhouses grown melons can be affected by a plethora of pests and diseases such as powdery mildew (PM). The latter is caused by a range of biotrophic fungi (Erysiphales) that may infect leaves, stems, flowers, and fruits, thereby substantially impacting the fruit quality and quantity [26]. PMs establish highly integrated relationships with their hosts through the development of a specialized parasitism-related structure, or so-called haustorium, that may induce compatible or incompatible interactions [5]. Podosphaera xanthii is the main causal agent of cucurbit PM and a total of 21 P. xanthii races have been identified on melon [15], based on their pathogenicity or virulence on known resistant lines [30]. Sources of resistance to PMs have been identified and the PMR 45, PMR 5, PMR 6, and WMR 29 accessions (Cantalupensis group) as well as MR-1, PI 124111, and PI 414723 accessions (Momordica group), PI 313970 (90625), and PI 482420 (TGR 1551) accessions (Acidulus group) and the PI 124112 accession are considered as resistant germplasm [33, 37]. To date, 25 dominant genes, two

recessive genes and seven QTLs have been identified in melon from a number of accessions [15, 47]. The dominant loci are mostly clustered on chromosomes 2, 5, and 12, thus indicating a potential allelic relationship of those in the same cluster, but no dominant typical resistance genes have been cloned so far. The *Pm-w* locus involved in resistance to *P. xanthii* was located at less than 2.5 cM from Vat [35], a nucleotide-binding leucine rich-repeat (NLR) resistance gene located on chromosome 5, in a telomeric region containing the highest density of NLR genes found in the melon genome [22].

Horticulture Research, 2024, 11: uhad256

https://doi.org/10.1093/hr/uhad256

Plant NLRs are thought to have co-evolved with the pathogenicity factors of the challenging pests and pathogens. As such, they tend to be among the most polymorphic genes in plant genomes, both in terms of sequence diversity and copy-number variation [44]). NLR genes code for proteins recognizing pathogen-secreted effectors within cells and give rise to effector-triggered immunity (ETI) which, combined with basal immunity (pattern-triggered immunity [PTI]), induce robust resistance responses [38, 46]. NLR proteins are thought to function as a sensor, a molecular "switch" between an inactive and an active conformation, and a signaling activator, following the recognition of a pathogen-derived elicitor [14]. In the distant past, NLRs diverged into three classes characterized by the presence of a Toll-interleukin-1 receptor

Received: 11 May 2023; Accepted: 29 November 2023; Published: 13 December 2023; Corrected and Typeset: 5 January 2024 © The Author(s) 2024. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of Nanjing Agricultural University. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. (TIR) domain, a coiled-coil (CC) domain, or a RPW8 domain in the N-terminus [41, 43]. Additional unusual domains have been identified, which may contribute to the flexibility of NLRs in perceiving pathogen effectors [25].

In a recent study on several cucurbits, we deciphered the CC-NLR cluster spanning the Vat gene [13] and we revealed a unique way of Vat genes duplication in the species C. melo. Phylogenic studies among melon lines have highlighted the gain and loss of leucine-rich-repeats of 65 amino acids (R65aa) during diversification. In the present paper, we cloned Vat-1 in the PMresistant WMR 29 accession. We demonstrated, using transgenic plants, that Vat-1^{WMR29} triggered resistance to two races of P. xanthii, then (Vat-1^{WMR 29} = Pm-w) and did not trigger resistance to viruses when inoculated by Aphis gossypii, while the Vat-1^{PI 161375} gene conferred the reverse phenotype. We investigated the frequency of Pm-w^{WMR 29}/Vat-1^{PI 161375} in melon diversity and we identified lines containing both Pm-w^{WMR 29} and Vat-1^{PI 161375}. These loci differed for their number of leucine-rich repeat sequences of 65 amino acids (R65aa) in the LRR domain and phylogenetic studies suggested that the R65aa number was a key factor in the resistance specificity, but SNPs switched resistance to susceptibility.

Results

Positional cloning of Pm-w WMR 29

We developed a positional cloning approach to isolate the Pmw locus using a cross between the PM-susceptible Védrantais cultivar and the PM-resistant WMR 29 cultivar. Ninety-five plants of a back-cross population [(Védrantais x WMR 29) x Védrantais] were assessed for P. xanthii resistance race 3 (00Sm39 isolate) using a leaf disk test. Considering the tight genetic link between Vat and Pm-w, we screened these plants with M1 and M4 PCR-based markers previously demonstrated to encompass the Vat locus [17]. We identified one recombinant plant and mapped Pm-w in the interval delimited by both markers. Extending the study to 3760 back-cross plants, we identified 87 plants with crossovers between M1 and M4, and we obtained, by selfing from this 87 BC1 plants, progenies called BC1I1. Powdery mildew resistance was recorded for each recombinant individual plant and for their corresponding BC1I1 progeny. Recombinants were genotyped using additional molecular markers and the Pm-w locus was located between the L273 and P851 markers (Figure 1; Table S1a).

To physically delineate the Pm-w locus, a bacterial artificial chromosome (BAC) library was constructed from the nuclear DNA of WMR 29. The L273 and P851 markers were used to screen this BAC library and six BAC clones encompassing both markers were isolated. A 33-kb subclone was sequenced and annotated. Predicted gene sequences were compared to the corresponding Vat cluster sequence in Védrantais and PI 161375 (Figure 2a). The first predicted gene after the L273 marker encoded a cingulin protein and the second predicted gene encoded a Vat homolog, which is most probably the first of a series of Vat- homologs and, according to the nomenclature for the Vat cluster [13], we named it Vat-1^{WMR 29}. The complete coding sequence was obtained by RT-PCR; it encoded a predicted protein of 1532 amino acids (Figure 2b, Data S1) as Vat-1^{Vedrantais}, and they shared very similar primary structure: a CC domain, an NB-ARC domain, an LRR domain with three remarkable parts called LRR1, LRR2, LRR3, and a C-Term domain. Nevertheless, Vat-1^{WMR 29} shared only 87.96% identity with Vat-1^{Vedrantais}. We compared Vat-1^{WMR 29} to Vat-1^{PI 161375}, the only Vat homolog conferring a known phenotype, e.g. resistance to aphids. They encoded proteins sharing 91.34%. identity. The LRR

domain was the most variable between the two alleles. It included two series of 10 and 11 imperfect LRR motifs (LRR1 and LRR3) separated by highly conserved repeats whose number differed (Figure 2b). The Vat-1^{WMR 29} protein had five highly homologous leucine-rich repeat sequences of 65 amino acids (R65aa) in the LRR2 domain, whereas the Vat-1^{PI 161375} protein had only four.

Vat-1 ^{WMR 29} gene is responsible for powdery mildew resistance

Of the two genes in the interval L273–L246, the Vat-1^{WMR 29} was the best candidate to confer resistance to PM. Then, we conducted complementation experiments with an 11-kb DNA fragment harboring the Vat-1^{WMR 29} gene and its 5'-3'-UTR regions. This fragment was introduced into the Védrantais PM-susceptible melon line via Agrobacterium-mediated transformation. Four independent diploid Vat-1^{WMR 29}-Védrantais transgenic plants (T0) harboring a single T-DNA insertion were produced and (T1) and (T2) progenies were obtained by self-pollination and homozygous (T2) were selected for further characterization. We carried out quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (Q-RT-PCR) of Vat-1^{WMR 29} transgene from no-infected plants of Védrantais, WMR29 and the four transgenic lines called PmW3, PmW4 PmW7 and PmW18. As expected, Vat-1^{WMR 29} was not expressed in Védrantais but expressed in WMR29. The Vat-1^{WMR 29} expression in the four transgenic lines suggested that insert events resulted in different expression levels. Two transgenic lines expressed Vat-1^{WMR 29} at a similar (in PmW7) or higher (in PmW4) level than WMR 29 while Vat-1^{WMR 29} was more weakly expressed in the two other transgenic lines (PmW3 and PmW18) (Figure 3a).

TheeVat-1^{WMR29}-Védrantais transgenic lines were assessed for resistance 10 days after inoculation by two P. xanthii isolates, i.e. race 1 (Sm3) and race 3 (00Sm39), using a leaf disk procedure. WMR29 exhibited total resistance to race 1 (no symptoms, score 0) while Védrantais scored from 7.5 to 8.5. The transgenic PmW18 was as susceptible as Védrantais, while PmW4, PmW7 and PmW3 had respectively 82%, 67%, and 40% less symptoms than Védrantais but less resistant than WMR29 (Figure 3b, left). After inoculation by race 3, some WMR29 disks exhibited symptoms (mean score 0.8), while all Védrantais disks scored 9 revealing a higher aggressiveness of P. xanthii race 3 compared to race 1. The only transgenic line less susceptible to race 3 than Védrantais was PmW4 with 42% less symptoms but PmW4 was less resistant than WMR29 (Figure 3b, right). We studied more in details the PM resistance in PmW4 and PmW7 following symptoms from 6 to 10 days after PM inoculation. PmW4 and PmW7 exhibited a high level of resistance to race 1 (Figure 3c, left) while PmW4 was significantly more resistance to race 3 than PmW7 (Figure 3c, right).

Therefore, PmW3 and PmW18 that less expressed Vat-1^{WMR 29} than WMR 29 exhibited no resistance or weak resistance to *P. xanthii* races 1 and 3, while PmW4 and PmW7 that expressed Vat-1^{WMR 29} at least as WMR29 exhibited high or intermediate resistance to PM races 1 and 3. Actually, high level of constitutive expression of Vat-1^{WMR 29}, like in PmW4 or WMR 29, might be requested for high level of resistance to PM race 3, suggesting that a frequent recognition was necessary to activate enough early resistance response against the race 3 that was more aggressive than race 1. Moreover, the total resistance to PM races 1 and 3 in WMR 29 suggested that complementary resistance loci are present in WMR 29 and absent in the transgenic plants. To conclude, Vat-1^{WMR 29} conferred high and intermediate resistance to races 1 and 3 of *P. xanthii*.

Furthermore, we tested PmW4 plants for aphid colonization (A. gossypii NM1 clone) and cucumber mosaic virus (CMV) resistance



Figure 1. High resolution map of the *Pm-w* locus from WMR 29. (a) Genetic map of the region containing the *Pm-w* locus. The molecular markers are indicated on the top and the number of recombinant plants is indicated between markers. (b) Recombination events in 7 out of 87 recombinant plants between PM-susceptible Védrantais and PM-resistant WMR 29. Thick green and thin orange lanes represent DNA fragments from WMR 29 and Védrantais, respectively. R and S correspond to resistance and susceptibility to *Podosphaera xanthii* race3 infection.



Figure 2. Annotation of WMR 29, Védrantais and PI 161375 sequences. (a) Genomic sequences from L273 to L246 markers on chromosome 5 (Védrantais sequence from [32]) and PI 161375 sequence from [13]). Gene in blue, Primer binding site in green. (b) Vat homolog gene and protein structures. Gene structure: thick lines indicate exons; broken lines indicate introns; exon and intron sizes are indicated in bp. Schematic diagrams of Vat-1 proteins R65aa: highly conserved leucine-rich repeat sequences of 65 amino acids in the LRR2 domain

when inoculated by this vector, both phenotypes controlled by Vat-1^{P1 161375}. As expected PmW4 plants were colonized by A. *gossypii* (Figure S1) and susceptible to CMV. Moreover, we verified that two Vat-1^{P1 161375}-Védrantais transgenic lines previously obtained [17] did not exhibit PM resistance (Figure S2).

Therefore, Vat- $1^{WMR 29}$ was shown to confer specific resistance to powdery mildew either high or partial according to the PM race, while its Vat- $1^{PI \ 161375}$ allele conferred specific resistance to

A. gossypii. Therefore, Vat-1^{WMR29} is called Pm-w^{WMR 29} hereafter in this paper.

Four functional Vat homologs were sequenced in WMR29

In melon, the number of CC-NLR homolog genes present in the Vat cluster and the number of R65aa they contain is a major form of genetic diversity [13]. As several Vat homologs were expected in





Figure 3. Characterization of four melon transgenic lines (PmW3, PmW4, PmW7, PmW18). (a) Constitutive expression of Vat-1^{WMR 29} relative to expression in WMR 29. Relative expression (mean of five biological replicates) normalized with three reference genes (TIP41, actin, TUA). Error bars indicate standard deviations. Asterisks indicate significant differences between transgenic plants and the PM resistant WMR 29 wild type (Student's t-test). (b-c) Powdery mildew resistance tests. *P. xanthii* race 1 (Sm3isolate) and *P. xanthii* race 3 (00Sm39 isolate) were inoculated to leaf disks; [mycelium colonization-sporulation] was scored from 0 to 9. (b) Four experiments were carried out with each PM race (one by PmW transgenic lines). Bars showed relative scores to Védrantais score ten days post inoculation. Four Steel-Dwass-Critchlow-Fligner / bilatéral tests were carried out on scores allowing to define groups for each set [Védrantais, transgenic line and WMR29]. Letters indicate significant statistical groups of scores (p < 0.05). (c) Two experiments were carried out (one by PM race). Points showed mean score from 6 to 10 days. Letters indicate significant statistical groups for the areas under the curve (ANOVA and Bonferoni pair test (p < 0.05)]).

the Vat cluster in WMR 29, we used three primer pairs ((Z649F/R; Z6097F/Z6095R; Z5474F/R, Table S1b) to infer the number of homologs. We revealed four additional Vat homologs in the WMR 29 cluster. Complete nucleic sequences were obtained for each of them using different primer pairs for long-range PCR, and we confirmed their expression by RT-PCR Table S1b). Complete functional protein sequences were translated from the predicted CDS, except one due to the presence of SNPs that induced early STOP codons (Data S1). Finally, we demonstrated the presence of three functional Vat homologs in addition to $Pm-w^{WMR29}$ in WMR 29. Nevertheless, we did not map them in the genome and we called them Vat-x^{WMR29}, Vat-y^{WMR29}, and Vat-z^{WMR29}. Those Vat homologs were manually annotated and contained four, three or one conserved 65 amino-acid leucine-rich repeats (R65aa) in their LRR2 domain. We compared the four Vat homologs of WMR 29 to 17 Vat homologs containing the same number of R65aa we

previously annotated in seven melon lines of diverse origins (PI 161375, Anso 77, Doublon, Payzawat, HS, DHL92, and Harukei-3) for which the whole Vat cluster sequence is available [13]. Pairwise comparisons of the Vat proteins are shown in (Table 1).

For proteins with five R65aa, Pm-w^{WMR 29} was completely identical to Vat-3^{Anso 77}, while it shared 88% identity with Vat-1^{HS}. For proteins with four R65aa, Vat-x^{WMR 29} was completely identical to Vat-3^{DHL92} but it shared less than 90% with Vat-1^{PI 161375} and Vat-1^{Anso 77}. Concerning proteins with three R65aa, Vat-y^{WMR 29} shared 98.9% and 99.7% identity with Vat-2^{PI 161375} and Vat-4^{Anso 77} and 91% to 96.3% with six other proteins with three R65aa. The four proteins with a single R65aa shared over 99.5% identity.

Therefore, according to the number of R65aa contained in each homolog, 100% identity was observed with Anso 77, DHL92 (a double haploid line containing the Vat cluster of Piel de Sapo [13], Doublon, and Payzawat.

Table 1. Protein sequence identities of the four functional Vat homologs in WMR 29 compared to 17 Vat homologs obtained from 7 melon genotypes retrieved from Chovelon et al. [13].

| Vat homologs | | Рт- <i>w</i> ^{WMR 29} | Vat-x WMR 29 | Vat-y WMR 29 | Vat-z WMR 29 |
|----------------------|-------|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| | R65aa | 5 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Vat-3 ^{AN} | 5 | 100.00 | 87.66 | 90.50 | 74.80 |
| Vat-1 ^{HS} | | 88.13 | 93.09 | 89.99 | 75.33 |
| Vat-3 DH | 4 | 87.66 | 100.00 | 86.32 | 75.76 |
| Vat-1 ^{AN} | | 91.82 | 89.12 | 90.88 | 75.49 |
| Vat-1 ^{PI} | | 91.34 | 86.30 | 94.01 | 75.77 |
| Vat-4 ^{AN} | 3 | 90.57 | 86.39 | 99.79 | 77.40 |
| Vat-2 PI | | 90.57 | 86.54 | 98.93 | 77.32 |
| Vat-2 ^{HS} | | 90.93 | 86.77 | 96.37 | 76.93 |
| Vat-2 ^{AN} | | 91.73 | 86.56 | 95.79 | 77.55 |
| Vat-3 ^{HS} | | 92.08 | 87.95 | 95.58 | 78.40 |
| Vat-1 ^{DB} | | 92.60 | 87.01 | 91.08 | 76.69 |
| Vat-1 DH | | 92.60 | 87.16 | 91.08 | 76.84 |
| Vat-1 ^{HRK} | | 92.60 | 87.16 | 91.08 | 76.84 |
| Vat-3 DB | 1 | 74.80 | 75.76 | 77.32 | 100.00 |
| Vat-3 ^{PZ} | | 74.80 | 75.76 | 77.32 | 100.00 |
| Vat-5 ^{AN} | | 74.62 | 75.43 | 77.12 | 99.72 |
| Vat-2 HRK | | 73.78 | 75.54 | 78.75 | 99.56 |

PI 161375 (Pl); Anso 77 (AN); Doublon (DB); DHL92 (DH); Payzawat (PZ); Harukei-3 (HRK); HS (HS). R65aa: number of leucine-rich repeat sequences of 65 amino acids in the LRR2 domain of the different Vat homologs. Vat: *n* (from 1 to 5) indicate the position in the Vat cluster

Relationship between powdery mildew and aphid triggered resistance and Vat homologs in melon natural diversity

We investigated the frequency of both $Pm-w^{WMR 29}$ and Vat-1^{PI 161375} alleles in 678 melon accessions of worldwide origin (Figure 4) using PCR screening with specific markers (Table S1b).

The *Pm-w*^{WMR 29} marker was present in 10.7% of the accessions (including four wild accessions) from almost all geographical regions (Table S2). Among them, we tested 20 accessions for powdery mildew resistance, and 18 were totally or partially resistant to *P. xanthii* (race 1 and/or race 3), while two were susceptible (Table S2). The Vat-1^{PI 161375} marker was present in 13.5% of the accessions (including ten wild accessions) mostly from India and the Far East. (Table S3). Among them, we tested 52 accessions for resistance to CMV inoculated by two A. *gossypii* clones (NM1 and CUC1), and 48 were resistant (completely or partially) to CMV when it was transmitted by at least one clone (NM1), while four accessions were susceptible (Table S3). Interestingly, both *Vat*-1^{PI 161375} and *Pm-w*^{WMR 29} markers were amplified in 15 breeding lines (i.e. 2.2%) reaching 52.6% of the tested accessions from South America, mainly from Brazil.

We then characterized in detail Vat homologs with four (as in Vat-1^{PI 161375}) and five R65aa (as in Pm-w^{WMR 29}) in 26 melon accessions selected for their contrasted phenotypes for virus resistance triggered by two A. gossypii clones and resistance to two P. xanthii strains (Table S4). We retrieved or obtained by LR-PCR 33 complete genomic sequences, and we carried on manual annotations of CDS (Data S2). Two phylogenies were reconstructed based on protein alignments, one for Vat homologs with four R65aa and another for Vat homologs with five R65aa. The first phylogenic tree was looked at in relation with resistance to CMV triggered by aphids (Figure 5a) and the second in relation with resistance to PM (Figure 5b).

A Vat homolog with four R65aa was identified in the 20/26 lines tested (Table S4). The three lines without Vat homolog with four R65aa, Edisto 47, PI 124112, PI 282448 were susceptible to CMV inoculated by any aphid clones. The phylogenetic tree of

the 21 proteins, rooted with a Vat homolog from C. sativus, highlighted two main clades (Figure 5a). The clade I contained the Vat homologs from all lines exhibiting resistance to CMV inoculated by NM1. This clade has evolved since 8.5 My (Figure S3). A subclade I contained 11 lines, which amplified the Vat-1^{PI 161375} marker and the 11 Vat homologs shared at least 99.9% protein identity with Vat-1^{PI 161375}. All lines, but CUM60, exhibited resistance to CMV when inoculated by NM1 and C9 aphids. We checked by Q-RT-PCR that Vat-x^{Cum60} was expressed in no-infected CUM60 plants. Intriguingly, a single amino acid differentiated the Vatx^{Cum60} from Vat-1^{PI 161375}. This polymorphism was unique among the 21 other Vat homologs with four R65aa we studied. This amino acid, located in the LRR1 domain (position 605), might be involved in the recognition of the aphid effector of NM1 and C9 aphid clones. Nevertheless, the amino acid switched from glycine in PI 161375 to aspartic acid in CUM60, and its role in recognition should be checked. The second sub-clade I contained the Vat homologs from all lines exhibiting resistance to CMV inoculated by NM1 but susceptible to CMV inoculated by C9. The Clade II contained the Vat homologs from all lines exhibiting susceptibility to CMV inoculated by NM1 but PI 414723. Actually, PI 414723 contained two Vat homologs with four R65aa, Vat-3PI 414 723 in Clade II and Vat-1^{PI 414723} in Clade I. Therefore, resistance to CMV inoculated by aphids is likely related to Vat-1 in PI 414723. Clade II exhibited two sub-clades, one gathering homologs from lines susceptible to CMV inoculated by both clones. The second subclade II contained two lines that exhibited resistance to CMV only when inoculated by C9 aphids.

A Vat homolog with five R65aa was present in the only 12/26 lines tested (Table S4). Among the lines without Vat homolog with five R65aa, some were susceptible to PM (PI 161375, PI 164323, Smith Perfect), whereas some were resistant to PM (PI 164723, PI 224770). These results illustrated that resistance to PM is complex and, aside *Pm-w* homologs, other loci are involved in the PM resistance in melon. The phylogenetic tree of the twelve proteins, rooted with a Vat homolog from *Cucumis sativus*, highlighted two main clades (Figure 5b). The clade I contained only lines resistant



Figure 4. Worldwide frequency of the *Pm-w*^{WMR 29} and *Vat-1*^{PI 161375} allele markers in melon. Screening of a collection of 678 melon accessions of worldwide origin [39] using specific PCR markers (Table S1b). In blue: number of accessions amplifying *Pm-w*^{WMR 29} specific primers (Z5071F/Z5069R). In green: number of accessions amplifying *Vat-1*^{PI 161375} specific primers (Z1431F&R). In orange: number of accessions amplifying both markers. In grey: number of accessions amplifying no markers



Figure 5. Phylogenic trees of 33 Vat homolog proteins from 26 melon lines and a Vat homolog protein from *Cucumis sativus*, cv 9930 retrieved from [13]. The trees were reconstructed by using the Maximum Likelihood method in MEGA X (Kumar et al. [27]. The percentage of trees in which the associated taxa clustered together is shown next to the branches (100 bootstrap) [27]). (a) Vat homologs with four R65aa (proteins in Data S3), the tree with the highest log likelihood (-8497) is shown, (b) Vat homologs with five R65aa (proteins in Data S4), the tree with the highest log likelihood (-8831) is shown.

(a) Vat-homologs with four R65aa

(b) Vat-homologs with five R65aa

to PM, this clade has evolved since 8.5 My (Figure S3). Four lines shared homologs with proteins 100% identical to Pm-w^{WMR 29} (Table S4), which likely conferred the resistance to PM in Anso 77, Invernizo-8427, PI 124112, and Edisto 47. Two other lines exhibiting resistance to PM, PI 414723, and 9065, carried homologs coding for proteins at least 90% identical to Pm-w^{WMR 29} (and 92 or 94% between them).

The common ancestor to Vat homologs with five R65aa belonging to the clade I has evolved to confer PM resistance, while the common ancestor to Vat homologs with four R65aa belonging to the clade I has evolved to confer at least NM1 aphid resistance. Interestingly, seven accessions possessed at least two Vat homologs, one with four R65aa and another with five R65aa and four of them (PI 414723, 90625, Anso 77, and Invernizo-8427), combined resistance to PM due to Pm-w and resistance to CMV when inoculated by A. gossypii.

Discussion

Plants are continuously under pest and pathogen attacks. To survive, they have developed a sophisticated innate immune system capable of identifying and neutralizing aggressors, while resistance gene duplications is a common way to increase their repertoire [31]. The NLR gene number varies greatly between plant species and a high proportion of them are present in clusters. Some crops, such as hot pepper, apple, and wheat, reportedly have around 1000 NLRs [2], while the number of NLRs in the *Cucurbitaceae* family is consistently lower, ranging from 50 to 100, depending on the species ([28];). To date, a few CC-NLR resistance genes have been isolated in melon targeting pathogens, such as *Fusarium* wilt, viruses, and aphids [1, 9, 17].

Vat homologs confer resistance to powdery mildew and to A. gossypii aphids

In this study, we revealed the presence of four functional CC-NLR genes, homologous to the Vat gene, in the powdery mildew resistant WMR 29 melon line. We isolated the $\textit{Pm-w}^{\textit{WMR 29}}$ gene using a positional cloning approach and showed, by stable complementation experiments in a susceptible melon line, that it conferred high resistance to P. xanthii race 1 and partial resistance to P. xanthii race 3, but did not confer neither resistance to A. gossypii nor resistance to CMV inoculated by this aphid. Conversely, we showed that its allele in PI 161375 (Vat-1^{PI 161375}) did not confer resistance to PM while it has been reported conferring resistance to A. gossypii and resistance to CMV inoculated by this aphid [17]. Actually, R-genes diversity has been increasing in plant species and lines carrying allelic forms target different pathogen strains. For instance, in wheat, the Pm3 gene perfectly illustrates the diversity of allelic forms targeting a large range of PM strains [6]; in flax, alleles at the L locus encode resistance to Melampsora lini races [19], and in Arabidopsis thaliana, RPP13 alleles encode resistance to Peronospora parasitica races [7]. Alleles of a single NLRgene can also confer resistance to distinct pathogens. In different A. thaliana ecotypes, the RCY1 gene conferring resistance to the yellow cucumber mosaic virus strain is allelic to the HRT gene conferring resistance to the Tombusviridae turnip crinkle virus, and to the RPP8 gene conferring resistance to the Peronospora parasitica oomycete, responsible for downy mildew [42]. This three-allele locus coexists with clusters of R genes of the same family derived from complex duplications and rearrangements in the Arabidopsis genome [29]. A similar situation may exist in tomato, where it has been shown that the dominant powdery mildew resistance genes Ol-4 and Ol-6 are homologous of the CC-NLR Mi-1 gene, which confers resistance to nematodes, whiteflies, and aphids, but their allelic status has yet to be clearly established [40]. Novel NLR functional diversity may occur through unequal crossover or gene conversion may occur when NLRs are clustered, with both mechanisms being facilitated by the repetitive structure of LRR coding sequences [4]. In melon, the Vat cluster exhibits explosive CC-NLR diversification with 60 Vat homologs described in thirty lines. Each line contains at least three, i.e., PI 161375, and up to five, i.e., Anso 77, functional Vat homologs and up to six non-functional pseudo-Vat, i.e., Charentais Mono, within a 300- to 400-kb region [13]. We illustrated this diversity in the canonical structure of the Vat cluster shown in Figure 6.

Pm-w^{WMR 29} and Vat-1^{PI 161375} are allelic in the PI 161375 and WMR 29 lines because they are located in the same genetic interval and share the first position in the series of Vat homologs within the cluster (Figure 2). Nevertheless, Vat-3^{Anso 77}, which shares 100% identity with $Pm-w^{WMR29}$, is in the third position in the homolog series in PM-resistant Anso 77 [13]. Actually, the first position in the homolog series has been shown to be shared by Vat homologs with three (Doublon, DHL92, Harukei-3), four (PI 161375, Anso 77), five (WMR 29, HS), and seven (Payzawat) R65aa, a conserved motif of 65 amino acids in the LRR domain, in melon diversity [13]. The absence of correlation noted between the repeat divergence and position might suggest that the repeat number variation was mainly generated by unequal crossover rather than by tandem duplication arising from a common ancient ancestor, as is the case for R gene diversity in Solanum pimpinellifolium [11]. Finally, rearrangements are highly frequent in the Vat cluster within melon diversity and the function of individual Vat homologs cannot be inferred from their position in the cluster.

An ancestor of Vat homologs with four R65aa evolved toward aphid resistance while an ancestor with five R65aa evolved toward PM

Molecular determinants of the interaction specificity are essential to gain insight into plant resistance and pathogen virulence. We previously showed that Vat-mediated defense responses are effective against aphids and viruses [8, 17], and we have now extended the locus function to a fungus. It is now essential to understand how the specific recognition of organisms as different as aphids and powdery mildew takes place.

Two homologs have been cloned, Vat-1PI 161375 conferring resistance to aphids and no resistance to PM, and Pm-w^{WMR 29} conferring resistance to PM and no resistance to aphids. The most remarkable difference between these homologs was their number of R65aa in the LRR domain: four in Vat-1PI161375 and five in Pm-w^{WMR 29}, raising questions about the role of R65aa number in resistance specificity. The LRR domain has a major role in pathogen recognition [43], and in particular, the number of repeat motifs as shown in the tomato-Cladosporium fulvum pathosystem [45]. Remarkably, phylogeny of homologs with four R65aa repeats was congruent with resistance to CMV inoculated by aphids while phylogeny of homologs with five R65aa repeats was congruent with resistance to PM phenotype. This suggested that, in the Vat cluster, a homolog with four R65aa is needed for resistance to A. gossypii while a homolog with five R65aa is needed for resistance to PM. These homologs derived from ancestors about 8.6 Mya old. The "Vat-cluster" in C. sativus contains only one Vat homolog but an explosive diversification occurred in C. melo [13] concomitant to C. sativus and C. melo divergence estimated between 6.9 and 10.2 Mya (Timetree.org). Homologs with four R65aa have evolved early towards resistance to aphids (about 7 Mya, Figure S3) while susceptibility appeared later (about 3.9 Mya). In contrast



Figure 6. Schematic structure of the Vat cluster in *Cucumis melo*. The Vat cluster delimited by the M5-M4 markers and localized at the end of the chromosome 5 contained two to nine Vat-x or *Pseudo-Vat-x* and one Vat-Rev or *Pseudo-VatRev* and two or three oxidosqualene genes. Each Vat homolog contained one to seven repeats of a conserved motif of 65 amino acids (R65aa) in their LRR2 domain.

homologs with five R65aa have evolved concomitantly towards resistance and susceptibility to PM (about 6.8–7.7 Mya). Interestingly, this has allowed emergence of Vat clusters containing a pair of homologs with four and five R65aa which might confer resistance to aphids and PM (see Tables S2 and S3).

The function of many Vat homologs remains to be deciphered. The use of CRISPR mutagenesis targeting only one homolog within the Vat cluster is today impossible to implement due to the strong identity between the numerous Vat homologs within a same line: no specific gRNA can be designed for each. Hence, positional cloning and functional complementation experiments may be requested to assign to Vat homolog functions, as we achieved in this paper for Pm-w^{WMR 29} and previously for Vat-1^{PI 161375} [17].

Frequency of Vat-1 PI 161375 and Pm-w^{WMR 29} in melon diversity

Specific Vat-1^{PI 161375} and Pm-w^{WMR 29} markers were present in 10% to 13% of 678 accessions representative of most wild and cultivated melon types worldwide. Interestingly, we identified these markers in 14 wild accessions mainly from India, suggesting they existed before domestication in the primary centre of melon diversity [23]. However, we cannot rule out that these wild resistant accessions resulted from more recent pollen fluxes or that they are feral types. The Vat-1^{PI 161375} marker produced four false positives (SVI 0023, WM 7, Baza and CUM 60) for resistance to A. gossypii among 52 lines phenotyped (Table S3), while the Pm $w^{WMR 29}$ marker produced onefalse positive (Casca de Carvalho) among 21 lines phenotyped for P. xanthii resistance (Table S2), therefore both markers inflated the resistance frequency inferences. The Vat-1^{PI 161375} marker revealed Vat homologs sharing at least 99% identity between them and likely conferring virus resistance triggered by NM1 and C9 aphid clones (Table S4). Nevertheless, as suggested above, other Vat homologs might confer resistance triggered by *A. gossypii* and therefore the Vat-1^{PI 161375} marker would have underestimated the frequency of Vat-resistance in melon diversity. The *Pm-w*^{WMR 29} marker revealed homologous genes with 100% identity (Table S4). In melon, several other loci are known to be involved in PM resistance such as those located on chromosomes 2, 8, 12 [33, 34, 47] and on chromosomes 10 and 12 [16] which may explain the *P. xanthi* resistance, in accessions for which no Vat homolog with five R65aa was detected. Moreover, some of these QTLs are probably present in WMR 29, allowing to this accession to reach a complete resistance to races 1 and 3.

Conclusion

The Vat/Pm-w locus constitutes a valuable model of multihomolog genes for research on plant recognition and mechanisms of interaction with very different pathogens, such as aphids and fungi. Here, we demonstrated that two homologs of a CC-NLR gene triggered resistance to specific aphid clones and also to specific powdery mildew races. The complexity of the large cluster comprising the Vat/Pm-w genes and its diversity in several melon genotypes suggested that Vat homologs may contribute to the recognition of a broad range of pests and pathogens that remains to be discovered.

Experimental procedures Fine mapping of the $Pm-w^{WMR 29}$ locus and sequencing

High resolution genetic mapping of Pm-w^{WMR 29} was conducted in 3760 BC individuals of a cross between the PM-susceptible cultivar Védrantais (Vilmorin release) and the PM-resistant cultivar WMR

29 [F1 (Védrantais x WMR 29) x Védrantais]. The markers used have been previously described [17] and are listed in Table S1a.

A BAC genomic DNA library was constructed from WMR 29 plants, using the Escherichia coli DH10B strain and the pIndigoBAC5 vector. The WMR 29 library represents about seven genome equivalents (33000 clones) and has an average insert size of 100 kb. The BAC library was screened with L273 and P851 markers flanking Pm-w^{WMR 29}. Six 100-145 kb BAC clones containing $\ensuremath{\textit{Pm-w^{WMR\,29}}}$ were found. A 33 kb subclone was selected, that comprised both flanking markers. The C2 subclone bearing the Pm-w^{WMR 29} locus was sequenced by shotgun sequencing. Sequency assembly was performed using the Phred and Phrap software package [20]. Structural annotation was conducted using AUGUSTUS (https://bioinf.uni-greifswald.de/augustus/) and FGENESH gene prediction (http://www.softberry.com) and predicted genes were functionally annotated by InterProScan (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/interpro). The 33 kb genomic sequences were exported to the CLC Main Workbench (https://resources. qiagenbioinformatics.com) for expert manual annotation of Vat-1^{WMR 29} by homology with Vat-1^{PI 161 375}.

Detecting the number of Vat homologs present in the cluster of different melon accessions and the number of R65aa in their LRR2 domain

Three pairs of previously described primers [13] were used for PCR (Table S1b). The Z649F/R primers were located in introns on either side of exon 2, spanning R65aa motifs in the LRR2 part, and amplified the majority of Vat homologs containing 2, 3, 4, or 5 R65aa motifs. Band numbers observed via gel electrophoresis for each accession corresponded to the minimal number of Vat homologs present in the cluster; band sizes allowed us to infer the number of R65aa motifs in these Vat homologs, at least for homologs with more than one R65aa. Because of their divergence from other Vat sequences, Z6097F/Z6095R-specific primers located in exon 2 were designed to detect Vat homologs with a single R65aa, producing a 467-bp amplicon in WMR 29. Similarly, the Z5474F/R located at the end of exon 1 was designed to partly amplify pseudo-Vat-Rev homologs, producing a 941-bp amplicon in WMR 29.

Sequencing of Vat homologs in different melon accessions

DNA sequences of Vat homologs were recovered from 21 melon accessions (Table S4). Sequence amplification was obtained by long-range PCR with different primer pairs (Z717F/R, Z6473F/Z717R, Z5263F/Z5184R, Z5469F/R) located in the 5' and 3' UTR regions (Table S1b), using the ExTaq Hot start enzyme (Takara BIO Inc, Japan, www.takara-bio.com) and under the thermal cycling conditions (94°C for 4 minutes, 35 cycles at [94°C for 30 seconds; 59°C for 30 seconds; 72°C for 4 minutes 30 seconds] and 72°C for 10 minutes). PCR products were cloned using the pGEM®-T Easy Vector System (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and plasmid extraction was performed using the QIAprep Spin Miniprep Kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's protocols. One to two clones per Vat homolog were sequenced. Nucleic and protein sequence identities were obtained using Clustal Omega sequence alignment tools (https://www.ebi.ac. uk/Tools/msa/clustalo/).

Transcription analysis and producing CDS

Total RNA extraction, first-strand cDNA synthesis, and RT-PCR were performed as described previously [13]. For Vat- $1^{WMR 29}$ full cDNA was amplified by long-range PCR with the Z717F/R primers

respectively located in the 5' and 3'regions of the gene (Table S1b), using the same conditions as above. The final RT-PCR product (4968 bp) was cloned in the pGEM®-T Easy Vector System (www. promega.com) and plasmid extraction was carried out using the QIAprep Spin-Miniprep Kit (QIAGEN, www.qiagen.com). Full cDNA was sequenced using SANGER technology (Genoscreen, www. genoscreen.fr) with a set of primers and manually assembled or with CAP3 (https://doua.prabi.fr/software/cap3). For other Vat homologs present in WMR 29 and in all other genotypes studied, specific LR-PCR primers were designed (Table S1b) and partial cDNAs spanning all exon junctions, were amplified by RT-PCR and directly sequenced (without cloning) using SANGER technology. Their expression was confirmed by RT-PCR (Z5071F/Z5069R, Z5951F/R, Z5895F/R, Z5471F/R) (Table S1b).

Phylogenetic reconstruction

All evolutionary analyses were conducted in MEGA X [27]. Vat homolog proteins coded by 23 Vat homolog CDS with four R65aa from melon lines and from a CDS with one R65aa from C. sativus cv 9930 (retrieved from [13]) were aligned by parts ([CC_NBS_LLR1]-[LRR2]-[LRR3-C-Term] to respect the Vat gene structure. The evolutionary history was inferred by using the Maximum Likelihood method and Jones et al. w/freq. Model in MEGA X. Initial tree(s) for the heuristic search were obtained automatically by applying Neighbor-Join and BioNJ algorithms to a matrix of pairwise distances estimated using the JTT model, and then selecting the topology with superior log likelihood value. A discrete Gamma distribution was used to model evolutionary rate differences among sites (five categories (+G, parameter = 0.4111)). The tree is drawn to scale, with branch lengths measured in the number of substitutions per site. There were a total of 1648 positions in the final dataset.

Vat homolog proteins coded by twelve Vat homolog CDS with five R65aa from melon lines and from the CDS with one R65aa from *C. sativus* cv 9930 were aligned and analyzed as above (+*G*, parameter = 0.3599). There were a total of 1705 positions in the final dataset.

The time trees were computed using 6.9 to 10.2 Mya divergence time constraint between *C. melo* and *C. sativus*. Védrantais was used in calibration of both trees. The Tao method was used to set minimum and maximum time boundaries on nodes for which calibration densities were provided.

Definition of Vat-1 PI 161 375 and Pm-w^{WMR 29} specific markers

Multiple sequence alignment (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/ clustalo/) was performed with genomic and CDS sequences of Vat homologs obtained from PI 161375 and WMR 29 and a specific primers pair was designed (https://bioinfo.ut.ee/primer3-0.4.0/) to amplify *Pm-w*^{WMR 29} (Z5071F/Z5069R; Table S1b). To amplify Vat-1^{PI 161375}, the marker (Z1431F/R) previously described was used. The frequency of both specific markers was investigated by PCR on 678 melon accessions from 29 countries including wild and domesticated melons, selected from the collection maintained by the INRAE Centre for Vegetable Germplasm in Avignon [39]. Five to 10 seedlings of each accession were grown in a glasshouse. Leaf samples from 2-week-old seedlings were pooled and DNA was extracted as previously described [17].

Complementation analysis

For complementation experiments, an 11-kb insert of melon genomic DNA, including the Vat- $1^{WMR 29}$ sequence and 2.2 and 2.4 kb from the 5' and 3' regions, respectively, was amplified

by long-range PCR (Z2412F/R; Table S1b) and cloned into the pBIN 19 binary vector using the In-Fusion™ Advantage-PCR-Cloning Kit (https://www.takarabio.com). The resulting plasmid contained the selectable marker neomycin phosphotransferase gene (NptII) conferring kanamycin resistance. After transfer in DH10B α E. coli, plasmid extraction was performed using the QIAprep Spin-Miniprep Kit and Agrobacterium tumefaciens transformation was obtained by electroporation into two C58 strains containing different helper plasmids (PGV2260 or Pch32). Melon transformation was performed as previously described [12] from young leaf explants of the susceptible Védrantais line. Integration of the entire T-DNA binary plasmid into the transgenic plants was determined by PCR with primers (SPE115F/R) specific to the NptII gene and primers (Z649F/R) amplifying a 1472 bp product corresponding to the second exon of the Vat-1^{WMR 29} gene (Table S1b).

Segregation of the T-DNA binary plasmid in T1 progenies was studied to determine whether a single insertion of the transgene had occurred (Table S5).

Vat-1 WMR 29 expression analysis in transgenic plants by Q-RT-PCR

The four transgenic melon lines (PmW3, PmW4, Pm7, PmW18) and the non-transgenic resistant control (WMR 29) underwent Vat-1^{WMR 29} expression analysis. RNA extraction, reverse-transcription, candidate reference gene selection and primer design were conducted according to the MIQE guidelines [10]. Specific Q299F and Q299R markers located in Vat-1^{WMR 29} exon 3 and amplifying a 212-bp amplicon were designed (Table S1b). Three stable reference genes, i.e. TIP41, actin, and TUA [3] were selected using GENORM software to build an accurate normalization factor for measuring the Vat-1^{WMR 29} gene expression by qPCR using the SYBR Green Chemistry method. The data were analyzed using the R package RqPCR analysis script [24]. Further details on the experimental design are given in the Supplemental methods.

Powdery mildew resistance assay

Two monoconidial isolates of P. xanthii, Sm3 (race 1) and 00Sm39 (race 3) were used for powdery mildew resistance tests and a set of four melon reference genotypes (WMR 29, Edisto 47, PMR 45, PI 124112) was used to confirm the race of each fungal strain [36]. Four to five weeks post-sowing, leaf disks (20 mm of diameter) were taken from expanded melon leaves and placed face upwards on agar medium (4 g•L⁻¹) in plastic boxes containing 54 discs), with two discs of the four reference genotypes. Each box was placed under a settling tower and inoculation was performed by blowing conidia present on an infected *Lagenaria siceraria* cotyledon. The boxes were then maintained in a growth chamber at 24/18°C under a 16-hour photoperiod at 40 μ mol•s⁻¹•m⁻². Mycelium colonization and sporulation intensity were estimated post inoculation (DPI) using visual notation under a binocular magnifier on a 0 to 9.

A first series of four experiments were carried out (one by Pm-W transgenic line). Thirty-two leaf discs of Védrantais, WMR29, and a transgenic line were inoculated and scored ten days post inoculation. Four Steel-Dwass-Critchlow-Fligner / bilatéral tests (Addinsoft® XLStat software v20.13.4.06) were carried to compare Védrantais, transgenic line and WMR29 scores.

A second series of two experiments were carried out (one by PM race) with two transgenic lines, Védrantais and WMR29. Fifty leaf discs per of each transgenic line and eighty discs from Védrantais and WMR29 were inoculated and scored daily from 6 to 14 days. The areas under the curve were calculate for each disc and ANOVA

and Bonferoni pair test (p < 0.05) (Addinsoft[®] XLStat software v20.13.4.06) were carried out to compare the four genotypes for areas under the curve.

Aphid resistance assay

Resistance tests with aphids were performed on 10 to 20 plants per lines, as previously described [8] using the NM1 and C9 A. gossypii clones. To assess A. gossypii ability to colonize melon plants, 10 adult aphids were deposited on 10 (for the reference lines Védrantais and PI 161375) or 15 plants (for the PmW4 and PmW7 transgenic lines). Seven days later, the adults were then counted, and the density of nymphs was estimated on a scale from 0 to 6. The aphid colonization parameter was calculated as [density of nymphs + ln (number of adults +0.01)].

Resistance to virus when inoculated by aphids was assessed with the NM1 or C9 A. *gossypii* Aphids from mass rearing were transferred to CMV (isolate I17F) infected leaves of Védrantais for 10 minutes virus acquisition and 10 viruliferous aphids were then deposited on plants for 15 minutes virus inoculation. Plants were scored resistant or susceptible 15 days later.

Acknowledgements

We thank Virginie Chareyron and the A2M Experimental Unit of INRAE for taking care of the plants and the aphid resistance tests. We thank Joel Chadoeuf for his statistical analysis advice. We thank Marc Bardin for helpful discussions. We thank David Manley for improving the English in this paper. This work was supported by the Biology and Plant Breeding Division of INRAE.

Author Contributions

N.B. designed the homologs diversity analysis in the Vat/Pm-w cluster and she performed phylogenetic analysis of Vat homologs in relation with phenotypic data. She supervised aphid resistance phenotyping, and she analyzed the diversity data (phenotypic and genomic data) with V.C. She realized all the statistical analysis. She supervised the manuscript building. V.C. performed complementation experiments of Pm-w and the molecular analysis of the Vat cluster in melon, she annotated the Vat-related sequences of all genotypes studied and validated their expression in collaboration with VR. She analyzed the phenotypic data with NB. V.R.-R. carried out the molecular analysis of Vat homologs, extracted DNA of the melon collection and designed and genotyped the markers for both alleles and participated to the manual annotation of Vat-related sequence. N.G., P.M., and M.P. performed the powdery mildew and aphid resistance phenotyping. M.C. and C.T. performed the fine mapping of Pm-w and screened the BAC library. A.B. supervised the positional cloning and the BAC library production and screening. C.D. supervised the positional cloning of Pm-w^{WR 29} in collaboration with A.B., supervised the complementation experiments and the frequency analysis of $Pm \cdot w^{WR 29}$ and Vat-1^{PI 161375} markers in a worldwide melon collection. N.B., V.C. and C.D. wrote the manuscript. All authors read the manuscript.

Data Availability

The data underlying this article are available in the article and in its online supplementary material.

Conflict of interest statement

The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

Supplementary data is available at Horticulture Research Journal online.

References

- 1. Adler-Berke N, Goldenberg Y, Brotman Y. *et al*. The melon *Zym* locus conferring resistance to *ZYMV*: high resolution mapping and candidate gene identification. *Agronomy*. 2021;**11**:2427
- 2. Andolfo G, Sánchez CS, Cañizares J. *et al.* Large-scale gene gains and losses molded the NLR defense arsenal during the Cucurbita evolution. *Planta.* 2021;**254**:82
- Anstead J, Samuel P, Song N. et al. Activation of ethylene-related genes in response to aphid feeding on resistant and susceptible melon and tomato plants. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata. 2010;**134**:170–81
- Barragan AC, Weigel D. Plant NLR diversity: the known unknowns of pan-NLRomes. Plant Cell. 2021;33:814–31
- Beraldo-Hoischen P, Hoefle C, Lopez-Sese AI. Fungal development and Callose deposition in compatible and incompatible interactions in melon infected with powdery mildew. *Pathogens*. 2021;**10**:873
- Bhullar NK, Street K, Mackay M. et al. Unlocking wheat genetic resources for the molecular identification of previously undescribed functional alleles at the Pm3 resistance locus. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2009;106:9519–24
- Bittner-Eddy PD, Crute IR, Holub EB. et al. RPP13 is a simple locus in Arabidopsis thaliana for alleles that specify downy mildew resistance to different avirulence determinants in Peronospora parasitica. Plant J. 2000;21:177–88
- Boissot N, Schoeny A, Vanlerberghe-Masutti F. Vat, an amazing gene conferring resistance to aphids and viruses they carry: from molecular structure to field effects. Front Plant Sci. 2016;7:1420
- 9. Brotman Y, Normantovich M, Goldenberg Z. *et al.* Dual resistance of melon to fusarium oxysporum races 0 and 2 and to papaya ring-spot virus is controlled by a pair of head-to-head-oriented NB-LRR genes of unusual architecture. *Mol Plant.* 2013;**6**: 235–8
- Bustin SA, Benes V, Garson JA. et al. The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. Clin Chem. 2009;55:611–22
- 11. Caicedo AL, Schaal BA. Heterogeneous evolutionary processes affect R gene diversity in natural populations of solanum pimpinellifolium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;**101**:17444–9
- Chovelon V, Restier V, Giovinazzo N. et al. Histological study of organogenesis in Cucumis melo L. after genetic transformation: why is it difficult to obtain transgenic plants? Plant Cell Rep. 2011;**30**:2001–11
- Chovelon V, Feriche-Linares R, Barreau G. et al. Building a cluster of NLR genes conferring resistance to pests and pathogens: the story of the vat gene cluster in cucurbits. Hortic Res. 2021;8:72
- Collier SM, Moffett P. NB-LRRs work a "bait and switch" on pathogens. Trends Plant Sci. 2009;14:521–9
- Cui L, Siskos L, Wang C. et al. Breeding melon (Cucumis melo) with resistance to powdery mildew and downy mildew. Horticultural Plant Journal. 2022a;8:545–61
- Cui H, Fan C, Ding Z. et al. CmPMRl and CmPMrs are responsible for resistance to powdery mildew caused by Podosphaera xanthii race 1 in melon. Theor Appl Genet. 2022b;135:1209–22
- 17. Dogimont C, Chovelon V, Pauquet J. et al. The vat locus encodes for a CC-NBS-LRR protein that confers resistance to Aphis

gossypii infestation and A. Gossypii-mediated virus resistance. Plant J. 2014;**80**:993–1004

- Dogimont, C. and Boissot, N. (2016) Insect resistance in melon and its modification by molecular breeding techniques. In functional genomics and biotechnology in Solanaceae and Cucurbitaceae crops (Ezura, H., Ariizumi, T., Garcia-Mas, J., rose, J., eds). Pp 199-219. ISBN: 978-3-662-48533-0
- Ellis JG, Lawrence GJ, Luck JE. *et al.* Identification of regions in alleles of the flax rust resistance gene L that determine differences in gene-for-gene specificity. *Plant Cell.* 1999;**11**:495–506
- Ewing B, Green P. Base-calling of automated sequencer traces using phred. PCR methods and applications. 1998;8:186–94
- Garcia-Mas J, Benjak A, Sanseverino W. et al. The genome of melon (Cucumis melo L.). PNAS. 2012;109:11872–7
- González VM, Aventín N, Centeno E. et al. Interspecific and intraspecific gene variability in a 1-Mb region containing the highest density of NBS-LRR genes found in the melon genome. BMC Genomics. 2014;15:1131
- Gonzalo MJ, Diaz A, Dhillon NPS. et al. Re-evaluation of the role of Indian germplasm as center of melon diversification based on genotyping-by-sequencing analysis. BMC Genomics. 2019;20:448
- Hilliou F, Tran T. RqPCRAnalysis: analysis of quantitative realtime PCR data. Proceedings of International Conference on Bioinformatics Models, Methods and Algorithms, Barcelona. 2013.
- Kroj T, Chanclud E, Michel-Romiti C. et al. Integration of decoy domains derived from protein targets of pathogen effectors into plant immune receptors is widespread. New Phytol. 2016;210: 618–26
- 26. Krístkova E, Lebeda A, Sedlakova B. Species spectra, distribution and host range of cucurbit powdery mildews in the Czech Republic, and in some other European and middle eastern countries. Phytoparasitica. 2009;**37**:337–50
- Kumar S, Stecher G, Li M. et al. MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. Mol Biol Evol35:1547-1549]. 2018;35:1547-9
- Lin X, Zhang Y, Kuang HH. et al. Frequent loss of lineages and deficient duplications accounted for low copy number of disease resistance genes in Cucurbitaceae. BMC Genomics. 2013;14:335
- 29. MacQueen A, Tian DC, Chang WH. et al. Population genetics of the highly polymorphic RPP8 gene family. *Genes*. 2019;**10**:691
- McCreight JD. Melon-powdery mildew interactions reveal variation in melon cultigens and *Podosphaera xanthii* races 1 and 2. J Amer Soc Hort Sci. 2006;**131**:59–65
- Ngou BPM, Ding P, Jones JDG. Thirty years of resistance: zig-Zag through the plant immune system. Plant Cell. 2022;34:1447–78
- Oren E, Dafna A, Tzuri G. et al. Pan-genome and multi-parental framework for high-resolution trait dissection in melon (*Cucumis* melo). Plant J. 2022;**112**:1525–42
- Perchepied L, Bardin M, Dogimont C. et al. Relationship between loci conferring downy mildew and powdery mildew resistance in melon assessed by quantitative trait loci mapping. Phytopathology. 2005;95:556–65
- Perin C, Hagen LS, De Conto V. et al. A reference map of Cucumis melo based on two recombinant inbred line populations. Theor Appl Genet. 2002;104:1017–34
- Pitrat M. Linkage groups in Cucumis melo L. J Hered. 1991;82: 406-11
- Pitrat M, Besombes D. Inheritance of Podosphaera xanthii resistance in melon line '90625'. In Cucurbitaceae 2008, p 135-142, may 21-24th, Avignon (France). 2008
- Pitrat M. Melon genetic resources: phenotypic diversity and horticultural taxonomy. In: Grumet R, Katzir N, Garcia-Mas J, eds. Genetics and Genomics of Cucurbitaceae. Springer, 2016,25–60

- Pruitt RN, Gust AA, Nürnberger T. Plant immunity unified. Nature plants. 2021;7:382–3
- Salinier J, Lefebvre V, Besombes D. et al. The INRAE Centre for Vegetable Germplasm: geographically and phenotypically diverse collections and their use in genetics and plant breeding. Plan Theory. 2022;11:347
- Seifi A, Kaloshian I, Vossen J. et al. Linked, if not the same, Mi-1 homologues confer resistance to tomato powdery mildew and root-knot nematodes. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact. 2011;24: 441–50
- Shao ZQ, Xue JY, Wu P. et al. Large-scale analyses of angiosperm nucleotide-binding site-leucine-rich repeat genes reveal three anciently diverged classes with distinct evolutionary patterns. Plant Physiol. 2016;**170**:2095–109
- 42. Takahashi H, Miller J, Nozaki Y. et al. RCY1, an Arabidopsis thaliana RPP8/HRT family resistance gene, conferring resistance to cucumber mosaic virus requires salicylic acid, ethylene

and a novel signal transduction mechanism. Plant J. 2002;**32**: 655–67

- Takken FLW, Goverse A. How to build a pathogen detector: structural basis of NB-LRR function. Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2012;15: 375–84
- Tamborski J, Krasileva KV. Evolution of plant NLRs: from natural history to precise modifications. Annu Rev Plant Biol. 2020;71: 355–78
- Wulff BBH, Chakrabarti A, Jones DA. Recognitional specificity and evolution in the tomato-Cladosporium fulvum Pathosystem. Mol Plant-Microbe Interact. 2009;22:1191–202
- Yuan M, Jiang Z, Bi G. et al. Pattern-recognition receptors are required for NLR-mediated plant immunity. Nature. 2021;592: 105–9
- 47. Yuste-Lisbona FJ, Capel C, Sarria E. *et al.* Genetic linkage map of melon (*Cucumis melo* L.) and localization of a major QTL for powdery mildew resistance. Mol Breed. 2011;**27**:181–92